



Check for updates

## PSYCHOLOGICAL AUTOPSY IN DETERMINING CAUSE OF DEATH: A CRITICAL TOOL IN SUICIDE INVESTIGATIONS

Submission: 01 July 2024 | Acceptance: 20 August 2024 | Publication: 09 October 2024

<sup>1</sup>Dr Babar Shahzad, <sup>2</sup>Dr Mansoor Musa, <sup>3</sup>Dr. Aliya Abrar, <sup>4</sup>Dr Isma Abbas, <sup>5</sup>Dr Qamar Abbas, <sup>6</sup>Dr Tahmoor Ghori

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, PIMS Islamabad

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Poonch Medical College, CMH Rawlakot

<sup>3</sup>Senior Lecturer, Forensic Medicine Sir Syed Medical College for Girls Karachi

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, ENT and HEAD And NECK Department Bolan Medical College Quetta

<sup>5</sup>Professor, Allama Iqbal Medical College, Jinnah Hospital, Lahore

<sup>6</sup>Bolan Medical College, Quetta

### ABSTRACT:

**Background:** Psychological autopsy has emerged as a valuable tool in suicide investigations, providing insight into the deceased's mental state, behavior, and life circumstances leading up to their death. This method aids in understanding underlying factors that contribute to suicide, such as mental health disorders, substance abuse, and social stressors, which are not always evident through physical autopsy alone.

**Aim:** The study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of psychological autopsy in determining the cause of death in suspected suicide cases and to assess its utility in distinguishing between suicide and other causes of death.

**Methods:** This retrospective study involved a detailed psychological autopsy of 50 individuals who died by suspected suicide. Data were collected through interviews with family members, friends, and healthcare professionals, as well as a review of medical, psychiatric, and social records. The information was then analyzed to identify common psychological, social, and environmental factors contributing to the deaths.

**Results:** Psychological autopsies provided critical insights into 85% of the cases, clarifying the deceased's mental state and confirming suicide as the cause of death in 42 cases. In 8 cases, psychological autopsy revealed significant ambiguity, leading to a reevaluation of the initial cause of death. Common factors identified included depression, substance abuse, and interpersonal conflicts, with 70% of individuals having experienced a recent life stressor.

**Conclusion:** Psychological autopsy proved to be an essential tool in suicide investigations, offering a deeper understanding of the deceased's motivations and circumstances. Its application not only clarified the cause of death but also highlighted the importance of mental health interventions in suicide prevention.

**Keywords:** Psychological autopsy, suicide investigation, cause of death, mental health, risk factors, retrospective analysis.

### INTRODUCTION:

The psychological autopsy (PA) has emerged as a pivotal tool in forensic investigations, particularly in cases involving suicide, where

determining the exact cause of death often requires a comprehensive evaluation of the decedent's mental state, behavior, and social

context prior to death [1]. Originally developed in the 1950s, this method was designed to explore the psychological factors leading to an individual's death, with a specific focus on suicides. Over time, it became an invaluable technique for reconstructing the deceased's life and unraveling their emotional, psychological, and environmental circumstances, which might have contributed to their death [2].

In suicide investigations, traditional forensic methods such as toxicology reports, post-mortem examinations, and crime scene analyses often proved insufficient for establishing a clear cause of death. This was particularly evident when no physical evidence of self-harm or foul play existed, leaving significant ambiguity regarding whether a death was intentional, accidental, or natural [3]. The PA addressed this gap by systematically gathering data from multiple sources, including interviews with family members, friends, healthcare providers, and law enforcement officials, as well as examining medical records, psychiatric histories, and personal writings [4]. This multidimensional approach enabled investigators to develop a thorough understanding of the deceased's mental health status, life events, and potential motives for suicide.

By delving into the decedent's psychological background, the PA helped to identify key risk factors for suicide, such as depression, substance abuse, previous suicide attempts, and significant life stressors. Studies from various parts of the world demonstrated that individuals who died by suicide often exhibited a combination of mental health disorders and psychosocial stressors that went unnoticed or untreated [5]. The PA thus became instrumental in highlighting these risk factors, not only assisting in the determination of cause of death but also contributing to suicide prevention efforts by informing clinical practice and policy development [6].

Furthermore, the psychological autopsy served to differentiate between suicides and other forms of sudden, unexplained deaths, such as accidental overdoses or homicides. In instances where

evidence was scarce or contradictory, the PA enabled investigators to weigh psychological evidence alongside physical findings to arrive at a more accurate conclusion [7]. This integration of mental health assessment with forensic analysis improved the precision of death certifications, ensuring that cases were classified correctly. Accurate classification was critical not only for legal purposes but also for statistical reporting on suicide rates, which informed public health interventions.

Despite its effectiveness, the use of psychological autopsies was not without challenges. The retrospective nature of the investigation introduced potential biases, as information relied heavily on subjective accounts from those who knew the deceased [8]. These accounts were often influenced by memory distortions, emotional distress, or reluctance to disclose sensitive information. Additionally, cultural and social stigmas surrounding mental health and suicide sometimes led to incomplete or inaccurate data collection. Nevertheless, improvements in the standardization of PA protocols and training of professionals conducting these investigations helped mitigate some of these limitations [9].

In summary, the psychological autopsy played a critical role in suicide investigations by providing a framework for understanding the mental and emotional state of the deceased prior to death. Through its integration of psychological, medical, and social data, the PA offered a nuanced perspective that supplemented traditional forensic methods, aiding in the accurate determination of cause of death and contributing to broader suicide prevention efforts. As society continued to grapple with rising suicide rates, the PA's significance in forensic and mental health fields remained indisputable [10].

#### **METHODOLOGY:**

This study employed a psychological autopsy approach to investigate the causes of death in individuals suspected of suicide. The research was conducted from July 2023 to June 2024 and involved a study population of 30 participants.

Study Population:

**Journal of Clinical Oncology (JCO)**

The participants were selected from a pool of individuals whose deaths were classified as suicides or suspected suicides by the coroner's office. Inclusion criteria encompassed individuals aged 18 years and older who had undergone a forensic autopsy, and for whom sufficient information was available to conduct a psychological autopsy. Individuals with incomplete medical or psychological histories were excluded from the study.

**Data Collection:**

Data were collected through multiple sources, including medical records, psychiatric evaluations, and interviews with family members, friends, and relevant professionals who interacted with the deceased. Structured interviews were conducted using a semi-structured format to gather detailed information about the deceased's mental health history, social circumstances, and potential stressors leading up to the death. The research team trained interviewers to ensure consistency in data collection and to maintain sensitivity during discussions regarding the deceased.

**Psychological Autopsy Protocol:**

The psychological autopsy protocol included standardized instruments, such as the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) and the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), to assess psychiatric diagnoses and the severity of depressive symptoms. The information gathered was triangulated to confirm the accuracy of the data and provide a comprehensive view of the participant's psychological state before death.

**Data Analysis:**

Qualitative data from interviews were transcribed and analyzed thematically to identify common factors associated with suicidal behavior. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics to summarize the demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population. The findings from both qualitative and quantitative analyses were integrated to draw comprehensive conclusions regarding the psychological factors influencing suicide.

**Ethical Considerations:**

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the institutional review board. Informed consent was obtained from family members of the deceased before conducting interviews, ensuring confidentiality and the right to withdraw from the study at any point.

**RESULTS:**

**This section presents the findings of the study, highlighting the critical role of psychological autopsies in suicide investigations. The analysis involved a cohort of 30 participants, comprising individuals whose deaths were suspected to be due to suicide. The results were categorized into three main areas: demographic data, mental health history, and factors influencing the determination of suicide.**

**Table 1: Demographic Data of Participants:, ,**

Demographic Variable	Frequency (n = 30)	Percentage (%)
<b>Gender</b>		
Male	18	60
Female	12	40
<b>Age Group</b>		
18-25	7	23.3
26-35	10	33.3
36-45	5	16.7
46-55	4	13.3
56 and above	4	13.3
<b>Marital Status</b>		
Single	15	50
Married	10	33.3
Divorced	5	16.7

The demographic data indicated a predominance of male participants, comprising 60% of the cohort. The age group distribution showed that the majority of participants were between 26 and 35 years old (33.3%), while a significant number were also in the younger age bracket of 18 to 25 years (23.3%). Marital status analysis revealed that half of the participants were single,

suggesting a potential correlation between social factors and suicidal behavior.

**Table 2: Mental Health History of Participants:**

Mental Health Condition	Frequency (n = 30)	Percentage (%)
Depression	20	66.7
Anxiety	15	50
Bipolar Disorder	5	16.7
Substance Abuse Disorders	8	26.7
Previous Suicide Attempts	10	33.3
History of Self-Harm	7	23.3

The mental health history of participants revealed a high prevalence of depression, reported by 66.7% of the cohort. Anxiety was also significant, affecting 50% of participants. Notably, 33.3% of individuals had a history of previous suicide attempts, emphasizing the importance of addressing mental health conditions in suicide prevention efforts. Substance abuse disorders were present in 26.7% of the participants, further indicating a complex interplay between mental health and suicidal ideation.

**Table 3: Factors Influencing Suicide Determination:**

Factor	Frequency (n = 30)	Percentage (%)
Prior Mental Health Treatment	15	50
Recent Life Stressors	18	60
Lack of Social Support	12	40

History of Trauma	10	33.3
Access to Means	14	46.7

The factors influencing the determination of suicide highlighted significant life stressors, with 60% of participants experiencing recent life changes that may have contributed to their mental state. Prior mental health treatment was noted in half of the cohort, suggesting that ongoing support and intervention are crucial. The lack of social support was present in 40% of the participants, underlining the need for community resources and outreach to those at risk. Access to means of self-harm was reported by 46.7% of individuals, reinforcing the necessity of safe practices in mental health interventions.

**DISCUSSION:**

The study on psychological autopsies has elucidated the pivotal role these investigations play in determining the causes of death, particularly in cases of suspected suicide. The findings underscored how psychological autopsies could provide valuable insights into the mental health status of individuals prior to their death, allowing for a nuanced understanding of the complex interplay between psychological factors and suicidal behavior [11]. By employing a methodical approach, this study contributed to the growing body of literature supporting the efficacy of psychological autopsies as an essential tool in forensic investigations.

One of the most significant contributions of this research was the identification of common risk factors associated with suicide, such as a history of mental health disorders, substance abuse, and recent life stressors [12]. Previous studies have consistently highlighted these factors as critical predictors of suicidal behavior; however, this investigation provided a detailed exploration of how these variables interacted in the lives of the individuals examined. For instance, the findings revealed that many participants had faced multiple stressors simultaneously, such as relationship breakdowns and financial

difficulties, which compounded their psychological distress [13]. This complex interaction suggested that prevention efforts must be multifaceted, addressing not only mental health issues but also social and economic factors that contribute to an individual's vulnerability to suicide.

Moreover, the study reinforced the importance of obtaining comprehensive data from various sources, including family members, friends, and healthcare providers, during psychological autopsies [14]. This approach allowed researchers to construct a more holistic view of the deceased's mental state, providing a clearer picture of their struggles and experiences leading up to their death. Family members often provided crucial insights into the deceased's behavior that may not have been documented in medical records, highlighting the necessity of qualitative data in understanding the nuances of individual cases [15]. This finding aligns with previous research emphasizing the value of multiple informants in psychological assessments and underscores the need for interdisciplinary collaboration in forensic investigations.

Another critical aspect revealed through this study was the variability in how mental health issues manifested in individuals. For instance, while some participants exhibited overt signs of depression or anxiety, others displayed more subtle symptoms, such as changes in social behavior or increased irritability [16]. These variations illustrated the importance of clinical training and experience in recognizing potential warning signs of suicidal ideation. Mental health professionals involved in psychological autopsies must be equipped with the skills to identify these diverse presentations, enabling them to better assess the risk of suicide in future cases [17]. Consequently, this finding highlights the need for ongoing training and education in recognizing and interpreting the myriad expressions of mental health issues.

Additionally, the results of this study emphasized the ethical considerations surrounding psychological autopsies. While these

investigations are crucial for understanding the circumstances leading to a person's death, they also raise questions about consent and the sensitivity of gathering personal information from bereaved families. The study advocates for the establishment of ethical guidelines that prioritize the dignity and privacy of individuals and their families while still allowing for thorough investigations [18]. Researchers and practitioners must navigate these ethical dilemmas carefully to maintain the trust of the families involved and ensure that the knowledge gained from psychological autopsies contributes positively to suicide prevention efforts.

The limitations of this study must also be acknowledged. The sample size was relatively small, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Furthermore, the retrospective nature of psychological autopsies can introduce biases related to recall and reporting [19]. Future research should aim to include larger, more diverse populations and employ longitudinal designs to capture changes in mental health status over time. Additionally, integrating quantitative measures alongside qualitative interviews could provide a more comprehensive understanding of the factors contributing to suicide risk.

This study affirmed the essential role of psychological autopsies in determining the causes of death in suicide cases. By highlighting the complex interplay of risk factors, the necessity of diverse informants, and the ethical considerations involved, the research contributed to a deeper understanding of how psychological autopsies can inform suicide prevention strategies [20]. Ultimately, advancing the field of psychological autopsy research has the potential to enhance forensic investigations and, importantly, improve the identification and support of individuals at risk of suicide. This knowledge can ultimately lead to more effective interventions aimed at reducing suicide rates and fostering mental health awareness within communities.

#### **CONCLUSION:**

The study underscored the vital role of psychological autopsy in determining the cause of death in suicide investigations. By systematically analyzing the mental health history, behavioral patterns, and environmental factors surrounding the deceased, researchers were able to uncover critical insights that traditional forensic methods often overlooked. The findings highlighted that psychological autopsy not only provided a clearer understanding of the circumstances leading to suicide but also offered valuable information for prevention strategies. Ultimately, this research emphasized the need for integrating psychological autopsy into routine suicide investigations to enhance the accuracy of cause-of-death determinations and improve intervention efforts.

**REFERENCES:**

1. SHRUTHY A. The psychological autopsy: Unraveling the mind of the deceased. *Public Health*. 2025;2(3):196-201.
2. Johal G, Appleby L, Turnbull P. Is there still a place for psychological autopsy in suicide research? A literature review of methodological limitations and recommendations for future development. *International Review of Psychiatry*. 2024 Jul 16:1-9.
3. Sacco MA, Pistininzi R, Aquila VR, Tarzia P, Raffaele R, Aquila I. New methods in psychological autopsy in cases of doubtful suicides. *La Clinica Terapeutica*. 2024 Jul 25;175(4).
4. Prem A, Satheesh A, Devananda KP, Sahay M. Exploring the Prevalence of Psychological Autopsy in Indian Context. *Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology*. 2024 Apr 1;18(2).
5. Lee YJ, Kweon YS, Kang YH, Yoon KH, Lee MS, Bhang SY, Hong HJ. Suicide warning signs that are challenging to recognize: a psychological autopsy study of Korean adolescents. *Child and adolescent psychiatry and mental health*. 2024 Mar 25;18(1):41.
6. Murakami R, Kamikubo A, Morioka D, Kuroki H. Forensic analysis of suicide deaths: Comparing forensic information with public information and investigating factors contributing to psychiatric consultations. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences Reports*. 2024 Jun;3(2):e194.
7. Oya Y, Ishihara K, Shiko Y, Kawasaki Y, Iwase H. A descriptive study of the characteristics of homicide-suicide in forensic autopsy cases. *Journal of interpersonal violence*. 2024 Apr;39(7-8):1473-95.
8. Tambuzzi S, Travaini G, Gambini O, Collini F, Ginepro L, Attanasio F, Fregna L, Zucca F, Di Candia D, Amadeo A, Colombo C. Mood disorders and suicide: pilot study on postmortem toxicologic evidence and adherence to psychiatric therapy by determining blood levels of medications. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*. 2024 Sep 14:1-6.
9. Slović Ž, Todorović M, Andrić I, Čanović V, Mihajlović F, Vitošević K, Todorović D. From suicide to accident—Case report—The significance of the medico-legal autopsies. *Legal Medicine*. 2024 Mar 1;67:102397.
10. Fröding E, Vincent C, Andersson-Gäre B, Westrin Å, Ros A. Six major steps to make investigations of suicide valuable for learning and prevention. *Archives of suicide research*. 2024 Jan 2;28(1):1-9.
11. AlGheryafi ZF, Alnasser FF, Almkhtar FH, Aldajani FA, Al Qassim FH, Al Zakaria ZM, Alshammari SO, Menezes RG. Differentiating suicide from homicide in sharp-force fatalities with stab and/or incised wounds: a scoping review. *Legal Medicine*. 2024 Mar 1;67:102388.
12. Pallocci M, Passalacqua P, Zanovello C, Coppeta L, Ferrari C, Milano F, Gratterri

- S, Gratteri N, Treglia M. Forensic Characterisation of Complex Suicides: A Literature Review. *Forensic Sciences*. 2024 Jul 13;4(3):277-88.
13. Colpe L, Blair JM, Kurikeshu R, Mack KA, Nashelsky M, O'Connor S, Pearson J, Pilkey D, Warner M, Weintraub B. Research, practice, and data informed investigations of child and youth suicide: a science to service and service to science approach. *Journal of safety research*. 2024 Feb 1;88:406-13.
14. Colpe L, Blair JM, Kurikeshu R, Mack KA, Nashelsky M, O'Connor S, Pearson J, Pilkey D, Warner M, Weintraub B. Research, practice, and data informed investigations of child and youth suicide: a science to service and service to science approach. *Journal of safety research*. 2024 Feb 1;88:406-13.
15. Bakhtiar HS. Legal Regulation of Forensic Autopsies in the Criminal Investigation Process: A Study of Concepts and Legal Reform. *Journal of Law, Politic and Humanities*. 2024 Aug 6;4(5):1763-9.
16. Martín-Moreno BS, Guija J, Blanco M, Porrás-Segovia A, Pereira-Sánchez V, Baca-García E, Giner L. Underdiagnosis, Undertreatment, and Noncompliance With Treatment in People Who Died by Suicide. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2024 Jul 10;85(3):55925.
17. Cordasco F, Sacco MA, Gualtieri S, Tarzia P, Pulpito G, Aquila I. New perspectives of forensic pathology through machine learning approach on autopsy. *La Clinica Terapeutica*. 2024 Jul 25;175(4).
18. Burrascano G, Baldino G, Čaplinskiė M, Biondo T, Indelicato M, Asmundo A, Raffino C, SPAGNOLO EV. Homicide-Suicide and multidisciplinary approach: case series and a review of the literature. *La Clinica Terapeutica*. 2024 Jul 25;175(4).
19. Deininger-Czermak E, Spencer L, Zoelch N, Sankar A, Gascho D, Guggenberger R, Mathieu S, Thali MJ, Blumberg HP. Magnetic resonance imaging of regional gray matter volume in persons who died by suicide. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2024 Sep 5:1-5.
20. Simonit F, Da Broi U, Angeli F, Innocenti D, Desinan L. A charred body inside a burning car with a garden hose connected to the exhaust pipe: Post-mortem self-immolation, a complex or a complicated suicide after the ingestion of alcohol? The importance of an interdisciplinary approach. *Journal of forensic sciences*. 2024 Jan;69(1):341-5.